

COPY OF PAPERS ORIGINALLY FILED

AF/Gp/3

P-3925-1 10-4-00 ####

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT:

MARTIN CULLEN

FOR:

TILE WET SAW WITH OUTWARDLY DIVERGING CUTTING MODE

SERIAL NO.:

09/864,350

FILED:

May 25, 2001

EXAMINER:

Maurina T. Rachuba, Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3723

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

In the above captioned application, an amended claim, as noted on Advisory

Action annexed hereto as Exhibit A, was refused entry.

In a subsequent request for consideration, as noted on Advisory Action annexed hereto as Exhibit B, the request for consideration was considered. It was not noted however whether the consideration did or did not include entering of record the amended claim.

Applicant earnestly believed that it did enter of record the amended claim and accordingly filed a Notice of Appeal and the requisite fee of \$160.

It was subsequently disclosed by the examiner it was not her intention to enter the amended claim as the claim on appeal, and thus the appeal was of no value to the applicant and will not be pursued.

06/18/2002 SSITHIB1 00000122 011174 09864350 01 FC:219 160.00 CH

Same As Raper # 10

Under the circumstances, it is respectfully requested that the \$160 fee paid be deposited to applicant's attorney's deposit account of 01-1174

Respectfully,

MYRON AMER, P.C. Attorney for Applicant

Bv:

114 Old Country Road Suite 310 Mineola, NY 11501 (516) 742-5290

Dated: June 5, 2002

Reg. No. 18,650

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, on June 5, 2002.

Jagan Nickich

Dated: June 5, 2002

Patents\3925-1.ROA2

				\mathcal{M}			
OIP			Application No.	Applicant(s)			
III 4 0 ac:	Advisory Action	COPY OF PAPE ORIGINALLY FIL	Rg 9/864,350	CULLEN, MARTIN			
UN 1 3 200			Examiner	Art Unit			
	<u></u>	······································	M-Rachuba	. 3723			
RADEMARY	The MAILING DATE of this cor	nmunication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
Therefore, final reject condition f	further action by the applican	nt is required to a only be either: (d Notice of Appe	avoid abandonment of t (1) a timely filed amend	ONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. his application. A proper reply to a ment which places the application in (3) a timely filed Request for Continued			
Xarriiriati	•		EPLY (check either a) o	r b)]			
a) 🛛 T	- he period for reply expires <u>3</u> months f	rom the mailing date of	of the final rejection.				
evenue extension	vent, however, will the statutory period INLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE 06.07(f). ons of time may be obtained under 37 ded is the date for purposes of determination of the content of the c	for reply expire later to FIRST REPLY WAS CFR 1.136(a). The do ing the period of extern date of the shortene fice later than three m	han SIX MONTHS from the man SFILED WITHIN TWO MONT ate on which the petition under an and the corresponding and the stantory period for reply or the stantory period for reply or the stantory period for reply or the stantory period for reply or the stantony period for reply or the stantony period for the stantony period	et forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no ailing date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee mount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
1. A N	Notice of Appeal was filed on CFR 1.192(a), or any extension	Appellant on thereof (37 Cf	t's Brief must be filed wi R 1.191(d)), to avoid d	thin the period set forth in ismissal of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) 🗌	they raise the issue of new r	natter (see Note	below);				
(c) 🗌	issues for appeal; and/or		•	al by materially reducing or simplifying th			
(d) 🗌	they present additional clair	ns without cance	eling a corresponding nu	imber of finally rejected claims.			
	olicant's reply has overcome t			ECEIV JUN 26 700 MA			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, time filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but describe NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
Th	e status of the claim(s) is (or	will be) as follow	s:				
CI	laim(s) allowed:						
	laim(s) objected to:						
С	laim(s) rejected: <u>1</u> .						
С	laim(s) withdrawn from consid	teration:	_				
				disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. No	ote the attached Information [Disclosure Stater	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Par	per No(s)			
10. 🗌 🔾	other:						
				M Rachuba Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3723			

910	7		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
8		COPY OF PA	00/004 250	CULLEN, MARTIN					
N 1 3 2002 &	Advisory Action	ORIGINALLY	PERS	Art Unit					
.5	•		M Rachuba	3723					
DEMARK OF THE MA	DEMARY The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 25 March 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.									
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under									
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of th									
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appear									
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:									
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);									
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);									
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or									
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.									
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.									
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).									
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:									
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.									
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.									
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:									
Claim(s) allowed:									
l	Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:									
Claim(s	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The proj	—————————————————————————————————————								
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)									
10. Other: See Continuation Sheet									
				M Rachuba Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3723					
LLC Detect and Tradema	1.0%								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)